$2M MATCH: Donate by April 30!

October 27, 2021

## CHD Petitions FCC to 'Quit Stalling' on Court Order to Address Harmful Effects of Wireless Radiation

*Children's Health Defense on Tuesday petitioned the Federal Communications Commission to "quit stalling" and comply with a court-ordered mandate to explain how the agency determined its current guidelines adequately protect humans and the environment against harmful effects of exposure to radiofrequency (RF) radiation.*

By Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.

*Also a dog, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.*

Children's Health Defense (CHD) on Tuesday petitioned the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to "quit stalling" and comply with a court-ordered mandate to explain how the agency determined its current guidelines adequately protect humans and the environment against harmful effects of exposure to radiofrequency (RF) radiation.

In 2019, it said the FCC failed to consider non-cancer evidence and adverse health effects related to wireless technology when the agency determined its 1996 health and safety guidelines for evaluating wireless technologies adequately protected public health.

The ruling stemmed from a 2019 historic win in a case challenging the FCC's decision not to review the 1996 guidelines.

The FCC has taken no action to comply with the court's ruling, prompting CHD to threaten further legal action.

Scott McCollough, lead attorney for CHD's historic case and author of the petition, said CHD petitioned to compel action rather than a request — because a petition "is something to which they must respond," according to administrative law.

CHD's petition said:

> The Commission cannot just sit on this matter any longer…Any continued non-action will violate the judgment and mandate and expose the Commission to further judicial censure, and perhaps even a mandamus order requiring action."

In its 2021 decision, the court said the agency must also address the environmental impacts of RF radiation and the "impact of RF radiation on children, the elderly, persons with disabilities, and long-term users," in addition to the ubiquity of wireless devices and other technological developments that have occurred since the Commission's last review of its guidelines.

McCollough, a telecommunication and administrative law attorney, told The Defender:

> "The FCC needs to receive all the evidence and science regarding the biological effects of RF radiation and deal with it in good faith.
>
> "The agency must recognize that its guidelines are outdated and must be changed to reflect reality."

### FCC cannot responsibly ignore this science any longer'

CHD's petition is the latest legal wave in an ongoing battle stemming from the FCC's 1996 decision to not update its 1996 RF exposure guideline and to "categorically exclude" the agency from reviewing the scientific evidence of adverse biological effects of RF radiation.

The FCC maintained that no further inquiry into scientific evidence was necessary and that its 1996 RF exposure — or emission — guidelines were sufficient for protecting the public against harm.

"The best available scientific evidence, including from the Environmental Health Trust, submitted to the FCC and filed in both federal agencies, supports maintaining the existing RF exposure limits," the agency stated in November 2019.

The Environmental Health Trust "profoundly" uploaded all recent scientific studies to the FCC Court's docket, "so the FCC is fully aware of these developments," the petition said.

"The Commission cannot responsibly ignore this science any longer," it added.

### FCC has to 'find a balance'

According to the petition, the FCC must address the environmental impact of RF radiation not only to comply with the 2021 court order — but also to comply with a looming deadline issued by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) for the federal agency responsible for developing the implementation procedures of the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA).

In 2020, CEQ issued a set of revisions to its Protection of the Environment rules that require the FCC to consult with CEQ on the environmental impact of RF radiation and provide an opportunity for public review and comment by Sept. 14, 2021, the petition said.

"The FCC's emission rules [about RF radiation] have always been purely about human exposure," McCollough said. "They do not address limits for exposure to birds, bees, trees, etc."

He added:

> "But the CEQ rules — which they have to update to match — make plain that NEPA procedures are all about [the] impact to the entire human environment, not just humans."
>
> "So in order to comply with the CEQ mandate, they [the FCC officials] have to address exposure rules to reflect [the] impact on the human and the non-human aspects of the human environment…and the science is telling us RF is harmful to very little people but also wildlife, trees and insect pollinators."

McCollough acknowledged that it will be a big task for the FCC to address the scientific evidence of the biological impacts of RF radiation on humans and the environment.

The FCC's job is to efficiently oversee the radio spectrum as a public resource in telecommunications — but it also has a human safety mandate, he said. The issue is, "How are they going to balance those responsibilities?"

NEPA also requires the FCC to assess environmental impact, so that imperative constrains them as well, McCollough said.

"We're not asking them [FCC officials] to turn off all the radios," McCollough said. "We're asking them to find that balance where you can still deliver reasonable communication service — but not make people sick and not destroy the environment, not kill all the pollinators."

"They've got to find a better balance," he added.

Subscribe To The Defender - It's Free!

First Name | Last Name | Email | SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D., is a reporter and researcher for The Defender based in Fairfield, Iowa. She holds a Ph.D. in Communication Studies from the University of Pittsburgh and a master's degree in Journalism and Mass Communication from the University of Iowa. She is pursuing a second master's degree in Environmental Conservation. She has taught at various academic institutions in the United States and in Puerto Rico.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your support is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Get It

27 Comments

Login

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Share

Best Newest Oldest

Deb
10 days ago

It appears that The FCC like all other fed agencies are assuming they no longer need to follow the law. Congress has overly trusted them as they seem to know no one is watching over them if they don't comply.

Especially with the current completely corrupted justice system we have in this country. The fact that the court ordered response was not appealed by someone in the agency only bares that out.

As punishment The The People should force the heads of those agencies to endure the same penalties as the ones inflicting on the rest of us. Maybe THAT might persuade them to think differently.

Reply Share

tommytopper
5 days ago

Anyone with someone is doing something to stop this EMF being.
It's just dark, and now we have to contend with 5G . Another deadly form of EMF

Reply Share

dorlynne
5 days ago

The Quakers will look because the science here is pretty clear and has been for over a century. As long as RF remains below the ionization level (where electrons are stripped off of atoms) then biological processes are unaffected.

But the human mind can create powerful illusions. Turn ringing* Fans becoming addicted to Q and far from that cell phone flashing you can say someone is addicted!

Reply Share

tommytopper
5 days ago

Are you here to convince yourself of your own beliefs lol…
I said it before any statement , lol
holy cognitive dissonance

Reply Share

tommytopper
5 days ago

Are you here to convince yourself of your own beliefs lol…
I said it before any statement , lol
holy cognitive dissonance

Reply Share

Ronald G. Gaudet
5 days ago

Wrong. The RFR will still hurt living things without existing frequency. How is another story to show the combination of 5G and 6G down the road for more cooperation profits.

Reply Share

jmhuffel
5 days ago

The FCC works for two reasons: 1. Enforce the treaties between the USA and other countries for the use of the rf spectrum. 2. Make millions of dollars creating and selling licenses for the use of the rf spectrum. Nowhere in their mission statement is there a word about safety and the FCC's mission. They were sold rf spectrum to the cell phone companies right next to the aircraft radar whenever frequencies without considering if those allotments were safe for humans. The FCC is a corrupt organization. Don't expect FCC to convince themselves with your health when the sale.

Reply Share

Memphis Steve
5 days ago

The FCC works for two reasons: 1. Enforce the treaties between the USA and other countries for the use of the rf spectrum. 2. Make millions of dollars creating and selling licenses for the use of the rf spectrum. Nowhere in their mission statement is there a word about safety and the FCC's mission. They were sold rf spectrum to the cell phone companies right next to the aircraft radar whenever frequencies without considering if those allotments were safe for humans. The FCC is a corrupt organization. Don't expect FCC to convince themselves with your health when the sale.

Reply Share

Yelena Dandova
5 days ago

Thank you for the work you do. Pinterest still blocks CHD for no good reason.

Reply Share

Ken Goldman
5 days ago

This administration is so backward in their thinking. They want to force you to get a shot that they named "vaccine" so they could convince people to take it. Now with even proof that the "vaccine" doesn't prevent infection or transmission, they still push it. In the same way they handled Giant Palestine, the Afghanistan withdrawal, etc. Can you name me one thing this administration did right? This is not a trick question.

Reply Share

Beatrice
5 days ago

Something is terribly wrong Ken. I mean, what the hell is really going on in this country? Not only are they pushing the vaccine on us, but they are also trying to change the very fabric of the body underneath. Biden is demented and his entire administration is comprised of incompetent hypocrites who lack acumen in the most basic of governmental functions. I'm not sure what is going on, but I think we have buzzy signs on all of us. We are talking Borris, prime mind waiter for the entire country to invade. The Dems were concerned about how dangerous Trump was. Now look at them.

Reply Share

Ken Goldman
5 days ago

This administration preps only to greet the CCP and only goal is to appease China. Remember Biden's first phone call was to Chinese Premier Xi Jinping. Mark Betrayed the Taiwan Dispute. It was documented Biden told what the Dems are pushing and the activist sheeple follow. Just look at the Afghanistan withdrawal. Biden's administration knew what was to be and it is documented proof and Biden let was on at the same time. Trump's The Deal is playing and the sheeple think everything is okay.

Reply Share

Beatrice
5 days ago

I don't trust electronic anymore. I think electrons are always moving and changing. I don't trust anything that is not in my hands. I don't trust anyone. I don't trust anything. I don't trust anything. I don't trust anything